



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,989	07/13/2001	Neil A. Cooper	1376-0100820	3444
34456 7590 07/10/2009 LARSON NEWMAN & ABEL, LLP 5914 WEST COURTYARD DRIVE SUITE 200 AUSTIN, TX 78730				
EXAMINER CAO, DIEM K				
ART UNIT 2194		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 07/10/2009		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: NEIL A. COOPER

Appeal No. 2008-003092
Application 09/904,989
Technology Center 2100

Mailed: July 10, 2009

Before DALE SHAW *Chief Appeals Administrator*
SHAW, *Chief Appeals Administrator*.

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI) on April 16, 2008. A docketing notice was mailed to Appellants on May 1, 2008. A further review of the application has revealed that it is not ready for decision consideration by a

BPAI judicial panel. Accordingly, the application is herewith being remanded to the Examiner to address the following matter.

APPEAL BRIEF, APPEALED CLAIMS

Appellant has not appealed all rejected claims. Specifically, a review of the Grounds of Rejection on the record finds that rejections are outstanding for the following pending claims: 1, 3-7, 10-11, 12-14, 16, 18-21, 31, and 35-36. The rejected claims that have not been appealed and/or argued for appeal are claims 3-7, 10-11, 12, 14, 18-21, and 35-36.

DISCUSSION

The Board of Appeals and Interferences (Board), in *Ex parte Ghuman*, <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/prec/rm081175.pdf> (BPAI May 14, 2008) (precedential), held that in appeals where rejected claims are expressly withdrawn, or are implicitly withdrawn by not presenting arguments in support of patentability, the Board will remand (or return) the application to the Examiner with instructions to cancel the expressly or implicitly withdrawn claims. *See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 1215.03 (8th ed. Rev. 7, Sept 2008).

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that this application be remanded to the Examiner to:

- 1) to enter a paper canceling claims 3-7, 10-11, 12, 14, 18-21, and 35-36;
- 2) upon entry of the paper, to return the application to the Board for the consideration of appealed claims; and
- 3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DMS/PEB

LARSON NEWMAN ABEL & POLANSKY, LLP
5914 WEST COURTYARD DRIVE
SUITE 200
AUSTIN TX 78730